# Change of Coordinates (non-orthogonal)

#### Different vertical coordinates

Suppose we have a property S(x, y, z, t) and want to express it as  $S(x, y, \xi, t)$  in terms of a different vertical coordinate  $\xi = \xi(x, y, z, t)$  — e.g., pressure, so that we look at the temperature vs. latitude and longitude on the 500mb surface or the 750mb surface. What is the relationship between derivatives like the rate of change with x along a horizontal line  $\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)_z$  and the rate of change with horizontal distance along a constant  $\xi$  surface  $\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)_{\xi}$ ?

Let us look at this graphically:



The derivatives in question are

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)_z = \frac{S_3 - S_1}{x_2 - x_1} \quad , \quad \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)_\xi = \frac{S_2 - S_1}{x_2 - x_1}$$

We can relate these two by using the vertical changes

$$S_2 - S_3 = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z}\right)_x (z_2 - z_1) = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}\right)_x (\xi_2 - \xi_1)$$

Using this to eliminate  $S_3$  from the rate of change along a horizontal surface gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix}_{z} = \frac{S_{3} - S_{2}}{x_{2} - x_{1}} + \frac{S_{2} - S_{1}}{x_{2} - x_{1}}$$

$$= \frac{S_{2} - S_{1}}{x_{2} - x_{1}} - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}\right)_{x} \frac{\xi_{2} - \xi_{1}}{x_{2} - x_{1}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)_{\xi} - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}\right)_{x} \frac{z_{2} - z_{1}}{x_{2} - x_{1}} \frac{\xi_{2} - \xi_{1}}{z_{2} - z_{1}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)_{\xi} - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}\right)_{x} \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)_{\xi} / \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}\right)_{x}$$

Likewise

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z}\right)_{x} = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}\right)_{x} / \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}\right)_{x}$$

Thus, to change coordinates we replace  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}$  by

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)_z \to \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)_{\xi} - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}\right)_x \frac{\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)_{\xi}}{\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}\right)_x}$$

with similar forms for  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial y}$  and  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$ ; the vertical replacement is

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z}\right)_x \to \frac{\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}\right)_x}{\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}\right)_x}$$

#### Math note: General coordinate change

There is a fairly straightforward mathematical procedure for changing coordinates from one system to another, even if the second is not orthogonal. Suppose we have a function  $S(\mathbf{x})$  and wish to express it and its derivatives as functions of the new coordinates  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ . We could use the chain rule to find

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi_j} \tag{1}$$

But this may not be adequate, for the following reason. We wish to have coefficients in the final equations expressed as functions of the new coordinates; however, quantities such as

$$\frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x_3}$$

are more likely to be known as functions of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

To accomplish the goal of having all terms expressed in the new coordinates, we begin with the opposite form

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi_i} = \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_j} \quad or \quad \nabla_x S = \mathbf{T} \nabla_\xi S \tag{2}$$

and assume that the  $\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_i}$  terms are functions of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ . We can express derivatives in the old coordinate system in terms of derivates in the new system by inverting the transformation matrix:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i} = \left[\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \xi_j}\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi_j} \quad or \quad \nabla_{\xi} S = \mathbf{T}^{-1} \nabla_x S \tag{3}$$

In terms of the Jacobian matrix

$$\frac{\partial(A, B, C)}{\partial(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)} \equiv det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \xi_1} & \frac{\partial A}{\partial \xi_2} & \frac{\partial A}{\partial \xi_3} \\ \frac{\partial B}{\partial \xi_1} & \frac{\partial B}{\partial \xi_2} & \frac{\partial B}{\partial \xi_3} \\ \frac{\partial C}{\partial \xi_1} & \frac{\partial C}{\partial \xi_2} & \frac{\partial C}{\partial \xi_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial (S, x_2, x_3)}{\partial (x_1, x_2, x_3)} = \frac{\partial (S, x_2, x_3)}{\partial (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)} \Big/ \frac{\partial (x_1, x_2, x_3)}{\partial (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)}$$

 ${\rm etc.}$ 

#### Example

If we take polar coordinates as a specific case, we have the relationship between the old and new coordinates  $r=r\cos\theta$ 

$$x = r \cos \theta$$
$$y = r \sin \theta$$
$$z = z'$$

So that the transformation matrix matrix  $Tij = \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial \xi_i}$  in (2) is

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0\\ -r\sin\theta & r\cos\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The inverse is

$$\mathbf{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\frac{1}{r}\sin\theta & 0\\ \sin\theta & \frac{1}{r}\cos\theta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\psi_x = \cos\theta \ \psi_r - \frac{1}{r}\sin\theta \ \psi_\theta$$
$$\psi_y = \sin\theta \ \psi_r + \frac{1}{r}\cos\theta \ \psi_\theta$$
$$\psi_z = \psi_{z'}$$

using subscript notation for derivatives.

#### Change in vertical coordinate

If we switch from x, y, z to  $x', y', \xi$ , the transformation matrix is

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{\partial z}{\partial x'} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\partial z}{\partial y'} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi} \end{pmatrix}$$

and its inverse is

$$\mathbf{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{\partial z}{\partial x'} / \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{\partial z}{\partial y'} / \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 / \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we can replace horizontal gradients

$$abla \longrightarrow 
abla - rac{
abla z}{z_{\xi}} rac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$$

vertical derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{z_{\xi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$$

and time derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \longrightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{z_t}{z_\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$$

in our original equations.

First, we note that the material derivative becomes

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla + \frac{1}{z_{\xi}} (w - z_t - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla z) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$$

and we can define the "vertical" velocity  $\omega$  as

$$\omega = \frac{1}{z_{\xi}} (w - z_t - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla z)$$

so that the material derivative becomes

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla + \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$$

With this definition, we note that  $w = \frac{D}{Dt}z$  as we might expect.

### Transformed equations

The horizontal momentum equations become

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p - \nabla\varphi \qquad (e.1)$$

with  $\varphi = gz$  being the geopotential; the hydrostatic balance is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\varphi = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}p \tag{e.2a}$$

while the conservation of mass gives

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{D}{Dt}\rho + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{z_{\xi}}\mathbf{u}_{\xi} \cdot \nabla z + \frac{1}{z_{\xi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\frac{D}{Dt}z) = 0$$

implying

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{D}{Dt}\rho + \frac{1}{z_{\xi}}\frac{D}{Dt}z_{\xi} + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\omega$$

or

$$\frac{1}{p_{\xi}} \frac{D}{Dt} p_{\xi} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \omega = 0 \qquad (e.3)$$

or

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h + \nabla \cdot (h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad with \quad h = const. * p_{\xi}$$

Finally, the thermodynamic equation becomes

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\rho - \frac{1}{c_s^2}\frac{D}{Dt}p = 0 \tag{e.4a}$$

in general. The potential vorticity (with  $\eta$  being the entropy) is

$$q = -\frac{g}{p_{\xi}} (\nabla_3 \times \mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot \nabla_3 \eta \qquad (e.5)$$

with the  $\nabla_3$  notation indicating the vertical derivatives are included.

#### Vertical coordinate function of pressure

When the vertical coordinate is a function of pressure  $\xi = \xi(p)$  or  $p = p(\xi)$ , we can define  $p_{\xi} \equiv -g\rho_c(\xi)$  and simplify the hydrostatic equation to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\varphi = g\frac{\rho_c}{\rho} \equiv b + g$$

We can replace  $\varphi = \varphi' + g\xi$  to get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\varphi' = b$$

The equations become (dropping the ' in  $\varphi$ )

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{u} = -\nabla\varphi \qquad (p.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\varphi = b \tag{p.2}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{\rho_c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\rho_c \omega) = 0 \qquad (p.3)$$

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\rho + \omega \frac{g\rho_c}{c_s^2} = 0 \quad or \quad \frac{D}{Dt}b + \omega \left[ -g\frac{\rho_{c\xi}}{\rho} - \frac{g^2\rho_c^2}{\rho^2 c_s^2} \right] = 0$$

The last equation can also be written

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla b + \omega \left[ b_{\xi} - (g+b)\frac{\rho_{c\xi}}{\rho_c} - \frac{(g+b)^2}{c_s^2} \right] = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla b + \omega \mathcal{S} = 0 \qquad (p.4)$$

or

with the stratification parameter  ${\mathcal S}$ 

$$S \equiv \frac{\rho_c^2}{\rho^2} N^2 = b_{\xi} - (g+b) \frac{\rho_{c\xi}}{\rho_c} - \frac{(g+b)^2}{c_s^2}$$
(p.5a)

defined in terms of the Brunt-Väisälä frequency

$$N^{2} = -g\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\rho - \frac{g^{2}}{c_{s}^{2}} = -g\frac{\rho_{\xi}}{\rho_{c}} - \frac{g^{2}}{c_{s}^{2}} = \frac{g^{2}}{(g+b)^{2}}b_{\xi} - \frac{g^{2}}{(g+b)}\frac{\rho_{c\xi}}{\rho_{c}} - \frac{g^{2}}{c_{s}^{2}}$$
(p.5b)

The PV is

$$q = \frac{1}{\rho_c} (\nabla_3 \times \mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot \nabla_3 \eta \qquad (p.6)$$

We shall use eqns. p1-p4 as our basic set.

The boundary conditions are a bit tricky; if the bottom is at z = h(x, y), we get an implicit equation for the surface pressure  $\xi_s(x, y, t)$ :

$$\varphi(x, y, \xi_s(x, y, t), t) + g\xi_s = gh(x, y) \tag{b.1}$$

We also have the kinematic condition

$$w\left(=\frac{D}{Dt}z\right) = \frac{D}{Dt}h \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{D}{Dt}(\varphi - gh) = 0$$

Together, these two imply

$$\omega = \frac{D}{Dt}\xi_s \quad at \quad \xi = \xi_s \tag{b.2}$$

#### Thermodynamics

For an ideal gas, we can simplify the thermodynamics using  $\eta = c_p \ln \theta$ 

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\theta = 0 \tag{p.7}$$

with the potential temperature being

$$\theta = \theta_0 \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)^{1/\gamma}$$

 $(\gamma = c_p/c_v)$ . Thus, the buoyancy becomes

$$b = g \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_0} \left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)^{-1/\gamma} \frac{\theta}{\theta_0} - g \equiv G(\xi) \frac{\theta}{\theta_0}$$
(p.8)

With a little work, you can substitute (p.8) into (p.4), using  $c_s^2 = \gamma p/\rho$ , to show that (p.7) holds. The Brunt-Väisälä frequency is

$$N^{2} = g \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ln \theta = g \frac{\rho}{\rho_{c}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \ln \theta \quad , \qquad \mathcal{S} = g \frac{\rho_{c}}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \ln \theta$$

#### Linearized equations

The wave equations for this system are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{u} = -\nabla\phi'$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\phi' = b'$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{\rho_c}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\rho_c\omega) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b' + \omega\overline{S} = 0$$

If we make the particular choice of  $\rho_c = \overline{\rho}$ , so that  $\xi$  is just the height in the resting atmosphere, we have  $\overline{b} = g$ ,  $\overline{S} = \overline{N^2}$ , and the equations look like the Boussinesq form except for the  $\overline{\rho}$  factors in the stretching term. We can separate variables

$$\mathbf{u} \to \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t)F(z) \quad , \quad \phi' \to \phi'(\mathbf{x},t)F(z) \quad , \quad b' \to b'(\mathbf{x},t)\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi} \quad , \quad \omega = -\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t}\frac{1}{N^2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi}$$

The mass conservation equation gives

$$\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} \left[ -\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \frac{\overline{\rho}}{\overline{N^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} F \right] + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} F = 0$$

giving again the vertical structure eigenvalue equation

$$\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\frac{\overline{\rho}}{\overline{N^2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}F = -\frac{1}{gH_e}F$$

and the horizontal equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{u} = -\nabla\phi'$$
$$\frac{1}{gH_e}\frac{\partial\phi'}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$

The lower boundary condition gives the surface pressure

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\phi}}{\partial \xi} \xi_s + \phi'(\mathbf{x}, 0, t) \simeq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi_s = -\frac{1}{g} \phi'(\mathbf{x}, t) F(0)$$

and its evolution

$$\frac{\partial \xi_s}{\partial t} = \omega(\mathbf{x}, 0, t) = -\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial t} \frac{1}{\overline{N^2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\overline{N^2}}{g} F \quad at \ \xi = 0$$

Often, however, the simpler condition  $\omega = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi} = 0$  is used.

#### Isothermal atmosphere

One case that can be worked out completely is the isothermal basic state. Using the gas law gives  $\overline{p} = \overline{\rho}RT$ ; the hydrostatic equation then gives

 $\overline{p} = p_0 \exp(-z/H_s)$ ,  $\overline{\rho} = \rho_0 \exp(-z/H_s)$ ,  $H_s = RT/g$ ,  $p_0 = \rho_0 g H_s$ 

— the density decays exponentially with a scale height  $H_s$ . We can just choose  $\xi = H_s \ln(p_0/p)$  so that it's the same as height. The associated density  $\rho_c = \overline{\rho}$  as before. When we calculate the Brunt-Väisälä frequency, we get

$$\overline{N^2} = \frac{g}{H_s} - \frac{g^2}{c_s^2} = \frac{g}{H_s} \left[ 1 - \frac{c_v}{c_p} \right] = \frac{g}{H_s} \frac{R}{c_p}$$

and discover that it is constant. The vertical structure equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \xi^2} - \frac{1}{H_s} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi} = -\frac{1}{H_s H_e} \frac{R}{c_p} F$$

Therefore F will have exponential solutions

$$F = \exp(\alpha z/H_s)$$
 ,  $\alpha^2 - \alpha + \frac{H_s}{H_e}\frac{R}{c_p} = 0$  ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4\frac{R}{c_p}\frac{H_s}{H_e}}$ 

If we start with the case when the argument of the square root is positive, we must eliminate the large root, since it has an energy density  $\overline{\rho}u^2 \sim \exp([2\alpha - 1]\xi/H_s)$  which grows towards infinity. Therefore we can only accept the negative sign, giving

$$F = \exp\left(\left[1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\frac{R}{c_p}\frac{H_s}{H_e}}\right]\frac{\xi}{2H_s}\right)$$

The lower boundary condition gives (for  $\omega = 0$ )

$$\alpha = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{gH_e} \to 0 \quad , \quad F = 1$$

or for the full condition

$$\alpha = \frac{H_s \overline{N^2}}{g} = \frac{R}{c_p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad H_e = \frac{H_s}{1 - \frac{R}{c_p}} = \frac{c_p}{c_v} H_s \quad , \quad F = \exp(\frac{R}{c_p} \frac{\xi}{H_s})$$

which will be well-behaved as long as  $c_p > 2R$  (for the atmosphere  $c_v$ ,  $c_p$ , R = 718, 1005, 287.1  $J/kg/K^{\circ}$  (Tsonis, An Introduction to Atmospheric Thermodynamics) so that this condition is fine. The equivalent depth is 40% larger than the scale height. Over one scale height, F grows by a factor of  $\exp(R/c_p) = 1.33$  while the kinetic energy density decreases by  $\exp(2\frac{R}{c_p} - 1) = 0.65$ . This is called the equivalent barotropic mode.

Are there any other modes? The derivation above makes it clear that this is the only mode with  $H_e > 4(R/c_p)H_s = 1.14H_s$ . What about the modes with complex  $\alpha$  which have energies remaining order one at infinity? The lower boundary condition clearly requires both the  $\alpha_+$  and  $\alpha_-$  modes; however, the latter will have downward energy flux. To maintain such a mode, we require a reflecting surface or an energy source high in the atmosphere. This will not happen for a resting atmosphere; therefore, the only mode available is the equivalent barotropic mode.

## Special cases of the pressure-like equations

#### Pressure coords

In the atmosphere, the standard choice is pressure coordinates  $\xi=p$ 

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{u} = -\nabla\varphi + G(p)\frac{\theta}{\theta_0}\hat{\mathbf{k}}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \omega_p = 0$$
$$\frac{D}{Dt}\theta = 0$$
$$G = -\frac{R\theta_0}{p_0}\left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)^{-1/\gamma}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D}{Dt}\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{u} &= -\nabla\varphi + b\,\hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \omega_p &= 0 \quad with \quad b = G(p)\frac{\theta}{\theta_0} \\ \frac{D}{Dt}b + \omega b\frac{1}{\gamma p} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Log p

But the log form is also convenient especially if we work with a near-isothermal stratification so that  $\overline{\rho} = \rho_c = \rho_0 \exp(-\xi/H)$ 

$$\begin{split} \frac{D}{Dt}\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{u} &= -\nabla\varphi + g(\theta/\overline{\theta})\,\hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} + (\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} - \frac{1}{H})\omega &= 0 \\ & \frac{D}{Dt}\theta = 0 \\ & q = \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}(\nabla_3\times\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}})\cdot\nabla_3\ln\theta \\ & Q = \nabla^2\psi + \frac{f^2}{N^2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} - \frac{1}{H})\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\psi + f \\ & \overline{\rho} = \rho_0 e^{-\xi/H} \quad , \quad \overline{N^2} = \frac{g}{H}\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \quad , \quad \overline{\theta} = \theta_0 e^{(\gamma - 1)\xi/\gamma H} \end{split}$$

For both systems, the choice with  $\xi$  corresponding to the resting atmosphere so that  $\rho_c=\overline{\rho}$  gives a quasi-Boussinesq model

$$\begin{split} \frac{D}{Dt}\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}}\times\mathbf{u} &= -\nabla\varphi + b\hat{\mathbf{k}}\\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}(\overline{\rho}\omega) &= 0\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}b + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla b + \omega\mathcal{S} &= 0\\ q &= \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}(\nabla_3\times\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}})\cdot\nabla_3\eta\\ Q &= \nabla^2\psi + \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\overline{\rho}\frac{f^2}{\overline{N^2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\psi + f\\ \overline{\mathcal{S}} &= \overline{N^2} &= -g\frac{\overline{\rho}_{\xi}}{\overline{\rho}} - \frac{g^2}{\overline{c}_s^2} \quad , \quad b = g\frac{\theta}{\overline{\theta}} \quad , \quad \overline{N^2} = g\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\ln\overline{\theta} \end{split}$$

For the ocean, we usually use  $(p_0 - p)/\rho_0 g$  and ignore the difference between S and  $N^2$ , giving a Boussinesq form

$$\begin{split} \frac{D}{Dt}\mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{u} &= -\nabla\varphi + \tilde{b}\hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \omega_{\xi} &= 0 \\ \frac{D}{Dt}\tilde{b} &= 0 \\ q &= \frac{1}{\rho_0}(\nabla_3 \times \mathbf{u} + f\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot \nabla_3 b \\ Q &= \nabla^2 \psi + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \frac{f^2}{N^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \psi + f \\ \tilde{b} &= b - \frac{g^2}{c_s^2} \xi \quad , \quad \mathcal{S} \simeq b_{\xi} - \frac{g^2}{c_s^2} \end{split}$$

## Summary table

ξ	$ ho_c$	G	$\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\text{atm.}), \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\text{oc.})$
p	-1/g	$-rac{R}{p_0}\left(rac{\xi}{p_0} ight)^{-1/\gamma}$	$-rac{1}{\overline{ ho}}rac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\ln\overline{ heta}$
$(p_0-p)/ ho_0 g$	$ ho_0$	$\frac{g}{\theta_0}(1-\frac{\xi}{H})^{-1/\gamma}$	$\frac{g \frac{\rho_0}{\overline{\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \ln \overline{\theta}}{\frac{\rho_0^2}{\overline{\rho}^2} \overline{N^2} \simeq \overline{N^2}}$
$-H\ln \frac{p}{p_0}$	$ ho_0 e^{-\xi/H}$	$\frac{g}{\theta_0}\exp(-\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}\frac{\xi}{H})$	$g rac{ ho_0 e^{-\xi/H}}{\overline{ ho}} rac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \ln \overline{ heta}$
$\frac{H\gamma}{\gamma-1}\left[1-\left(\frac{p}{p_0}\right)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}\right]$	$\rho_0 \left[1 - \frac{\xi}{H} \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}\right]^{1/(\gamma - 1)}$	$\frac{g}{ heta_0}$	$g \frac{\rho_0}{\overline{ ho}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\xi}{H} \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \right]^{\frac{1}{(\gamma - 1)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \ln \overline{\theta}$
$-\int_{p_0}^p dp' rac{p'}{\overline{ ho}(p')g}$	$\overline{ ho}$	$\frac{g}{\theta}$	$g rac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \ln \overline{ heta} \ rac{\partial}{N^2}$

In this chart,  $p_0$  and  $\rho_0$  are reference values; the scale height is related to these two by  $gH = RT_0 = R\theta_0 = p_0/\rho_0$ .